

Simultaneous localization and mapping

Václav Hlaváč

Czech Technical University in Prague (ČVUT)

Czech Institute of Informatics, Robotics, and Cybernetics (<u>CIIRC</u>)

Prague 6, Jugoslávských partyzánů 3 Czech Republic

<u>hlavac@ciirc.cvut.cz</u> http://people.ciirc.cvut.cz/hlavac/

Courtesy to several authors of presentations on the web, especially P. Abbeel, R. Siegwart.

SLAM = Simulataneus Localization and Mapping



SLAM task:

Robot navigation in a previously unknown (static) environment while building and updating a map of its workspace continuously using on-board sensors only.

- When is SLAM necessary?
 - When a robot must be truly autonomous (no human input).
 - When there is no prior knowledge about the environment.
 - When we cannot place beacons (also in GPS-denied environments).
 - When the robot needs to know where it is.
- SLAM keeps being a challenge in probabilistic robotics.

SLAM Applications



Undersea







Simpler relevant tasks than SLAM

- Pure localization: the map is known and the location has to be estimated along the way.
- Mapping with known poses: the poses are known and the map is estimated along the way.

Mapping:



Automatic Map Building:

More challenging, but:

- Automatic
- The robot learns its environment
- Can adapt to dynamic changes

ĊVUT

Where does SLAM fit?



ČVUT v Praze

SLAM – task formulation



Inputs:

- Time sequence of proprioceptive and exteroceptive measurements made as robot moves through an initially unknown environment.
 - The robot controls.
 - Observations of nearby features.
- No external coordinate reference.

Outputs:

- Localization: A robot pose estimate associated with each measurement in the coordinate system of the map.
- Mapping: An update to the map of the robot environment.
- Path of the robot.

SLAM is an incremental task

State/Output:

- Map of the environment, which has been observed so far.
- Robot pose estimate with respect to the map.

Action/Input:

- Move to a new position/orientation.
- Acquire additional observations.

Update state:

- Re-estimate robot pose.
- Revise the map appropriately.
- Errors come from inaccurate measurement of actual robot motion (noisy action) and the distance from obstacle/landmark (noisy observation).
- Small errors will quickly accumulate over time steps.



SLAM difficulties (1)



- SLAM is a chicken or egg problem.
 - A map is needed for localizing a robot.
 - A good robot position estimate is needed to create/update the map.
- Consequently, SLAM is regarded as hard problem in robotics.





SLAM difficulties (2)



- SLAM is considered one of the most fundamental problems for (mobile) robots to be truly autonomous.
- Variety of approaches have been tried to approach SLAM problem.
- Probabilistic methods rule!
- History of SLAM dates to mid-1980s.



Why is SLAM hard?

ČVUT v Praze in Prague

- Uncertainty at every level of the problem.
- Many ingredients:
 - Autonomous, persistent, collaborative robots.
 - Mapping is multi-scale in generic environments.
- Map-making ~ learning:
 - Difficult also for humans.
 - Humans make mapping mistakes.
- Scaling issues:
 - Large spatial extent \Rightarrow combinatorial expansion.
 - Persistent autonomous operations.

Robot world representations









[Lu & Milios, 97; Gutmann, 98: Thrun 98; Burgard, 99; Konolige & Gutmann, 00; Thrun, 00; Arras, 99; Haehnel, 01;...]

Landmark-based







[Leonard et al., 98; Castelanos et al., 99: Dissanayake et al., 2001; Montemerlo et al., 2002;...

V. Hlaváč, B3M33ARO Autonomous robotics

Structure of the Landmark-based SLAM task





Markovian assumption



State transition: $p(x_t | x_{t-1}, u_t)$

Observation function: $p(z_t | x_t)$

ČVUT



- In the real world, the mapping between observations and landmarks is unknown.
- Picking wrong data associations can have catastrophic consequences.
- Pose error correlates data associations.

Why is SLAM a hard problem?



SLAM: robot path and map are both unknown.



Robot path error correlates errors in the map.

SLAM



• Full SLAM: Estimates the entire path and map! $p(x_{1:t}, m \mid z_{1:t}, u_{1:t})$

Online SLAM:

$$p(x_t, m \mid z_{1:t}, u_{1:t}) = \int \int \dots \int p(x_{1:t}, m \mid z_{1:t}, u_{1:t}) \, dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_{t-1}$$

Integrations typically done one at a time

Estimates the most recent pose and map!

Graphical model of Full SLAM





 $p(x_{1:t}, m \mid z_{1:t}, u_{1:t})$

Graphical model of online SLAM





 $p(x_t, m \mid z_{1:t}, u_{1:t}) = \int \int \dots \int p(x_{1:t}, m \mid z_{1:t}, u_{1:t}) dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_{t-1}$

Techniques for generating consistent maps



- Scan matching = Given a scan and a map (or scan-scan, map-map), find the rigid transformation that aligns them the best. Two approaches.
 - Optimize over x: p(z/x,m)
 - Reduce scan-map to a point cloud and run the Iterative Point Cloud algorithm (ICP).
- 2. Parametric method (Extended) Kalman filter
 - Represent the distribution of the robot location x_t (and map m_t) by a Gaussian distribution.
 - Update μ_t and \sum_t sequentially.
- 3. Sample-based method Particle filter
 - Represent the distribution of robot location x_t (and map m_t) by a large amount of simulated samples.
 - Resample x_t (and m_t) at each time step.

Scan Matching, optimal x: p(z|x,m)



Maximize the likelihood of the pose *t* and map relative to the pose *t*-*1* and to the map.



Calculate the map $\hat{m}^{[t]}$ according to "mapping with known poses" based on the poses and observations.





V. Hlaváč, B3M33ARO Autonomous robotics

ČVUT v Praze in Prague

The Kalman filter, a simple example Where are you (1)

- Where are you (in 1D)?
- [from star sighting]: at time t_1 , you are in z_1 , with accuracy σ_{z1}
- Best estimate: $x(t_1) = z_1$
- Variance of the error: $(\sigma_x(t_1))^2 = (\sigma_{z1})^2$







• [from GPS]: at time $t_2 \cong t_1$, you are in z_2 , with the accuracy σ_{z2}



How should we combine the information?

The best estimate:

 $X(t_2) = [(\sigma_{z2}^2)/(\sigma_{z1}^2 + \sigma_{z2}^2)] z_1 + [(\sigma_{z1}^2)/(\sigma_{z1}^2 + \sigma_{z2}^2)] z_2$

Variance of the error:

$$1/(\sigma)^2 = 1/(\sigma_{z1})^2 + 1/(\sigma_{z2})^2$$







And so on for the next measurement...

Moving objects

•
$$t_2 \cong t_1 \quad t_3 \to t_2$$

The motion equation:



 $x(t_3) = x(t_2) + u[t_3 - t_2]$ $\sigma_{x}(t_{3})^{2} = \sigma_{x}(t_{2})^{2} - \sigma_{w}^{2}[t_{3} - t_{2}]$



Combining the information





Where: $K(t_{3^{-}}) = (\sigma_{t3^{-}}^2) / (\sigma_{t3^{-}}^2 + \sigma_{z3^{-}}^2)$

And so on for the next measurement...

General scheme



ČVUT

v Praze in Prague

Kalman filter- a general model

- The Model:
 - Equations:
 - $X_t = AX_{t-1} + Bu_{t-1} + w_{t-1}$ • $Z_t = HX_t + v_t$
 - *w_t*: model error ("Brownian motion"). Gaussian white noise.
 - v_t : measurment error. Gaussian white noise.
- The "best" estimator (in Minimal Mean Square Error sense) is: $\hat{X}_t = E[X_t | z_t, z_{t-1},...]$
- Kalman filter gives the "best" estimation for the given model (linear, Gaussian noise).



Kalman Filter Algorithm

- 1. Algorithm Kalman_filter(μ_{t-1} , Σ_{t-1} , u_t , z_t):
- 2. Prediction: 3. $\overline{\mu}_{t} = A_{t}\mu_{t-1} + B_{t}u_{t}$ 4. $\overline{\Sigma}_{t} = A_{t}\Sigma_{t-1}A_{t}^{T} + R_{t}$
- 5. Correction: 6. $K_t = \overline{\Sigma}_t C_t^T (C_t \overline{\Sigma}_t C_t^T + Q_t)^{-1}$ 7. $\mu_t = \overline{\mu}_t + K_t (z_t - C_t \overline{\mu}_t)$ 8. $\Sigma_t = (I - K_t C_t) \overline{\Sigma}_t$
- 9. Return μ_t , Σ_t



(E)KF-SLAM



Map with N landmarks:(3+2N)-dimensional Gaussian

$$Bel(x_{t},m_{t}) = \left(\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \\ l_{1} \\ l_{2} \\ \vdots \\ l_{N} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{x}^{2} & \sigma_{xy} & \sigma_{x\theta} \\ \sigma_{xy} & \sigma_{y}^{2} & \sigma_{y\theta} \\ \sigma_{y\theta} & \sigma_{\theta}^{2} & \sigma_{yl_{1}} & \sigma_{yl_{2}} & \cdots & \sigma_{yl_{N}} \\ \sigma_{yl_{1}} & \sigma_{yl_{2}} & \sigma_{\theta}^{2} & \sigma_{\theta}^{2} & \sigma_{\theta}^{2} & \sigma_{\theta}^{2} \\ \sigma_{\ell_{1}} & \sigma_{\ell_{2}} & \cdots & \sigma_{\ell_{1}} \\ \sigma_{\ell_{1}} & \sigma_{\ell_{1}} & \sigma_{\ell_{2}} & \cdots & \sigma_{\ell_{1}} \\ \sigma_{\ell_{1}} & \sigma_{\ell_{2}} & \sigma_{\ell_{2}} & \cdots & \sigma_{\ell_{1}} \\ \sigma_{\ell_{1}} & \sigma_{\ell_{2}} & \sigma_{\ell_{2}} & \cdots & \sigma_{\ell_{1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \sigma_{\ell_{1}} & \sigma_{\ell_{1}} & \sigma_{\ell_{2}} & \cdots & \sigma_{\ell_{2}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \sigma_{\ell_{1}} & \sigma_{\ell_{1}} & \sigma_{\ell_{2}} & \cdots & \sigma_{\ell_{N}} \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

Can handle hundreds of dimensions

Classical Solution – The EKF



Blue path = true path Red path = estimated path Black path = odometry

- Approximate the SLAM posterior with a highdimensional Gaussian [Smith & Cheesman, 1986] ...
- Single hypothesis data association

ČVUT

v Praze in Prague





Correlation matrix

Мар

EKF-SLAM







Correlation matrix

EKF-SLAM





Мар

Correlation matrix

Properties of KF-SLAM (Linear Case)



[Dissanayake et al., 2001]

Theorem:

The determinant of any sub-matrix of the map covariance matrix decreases monotonically as successive observations are made.

Theorem:

In the limit the landmark estimates become fully correlated
Victoria Park data set





V. Hlaváč, B3M33ARO[@@uptesyofbtidNebot]

Victoria Park Data Set Vehicle





V. Hlaváč, B3M33ARO A [councie syoEcs Nebot]

Data acquisition





V. Hlaváč, B3M33ARO Autonomous robotics[courtesy E. Nebot]

SLAM





V. Hlaváč, B3M33ARO Autonomous robotics [courtesy E. Nebot]

Map and Trajectory



ČVUT v Praze in Prague

Landmarks Covariance

Landmark Covariance



ČVUT v Praze in Prague

Estimated Trajectory



V. Hlaváč, B3M33ARO Autonomous robotics [courtesy E. Nebot]

43

EKF SLAM Application





V. Hlaváč, B3M33ARO Autonomous robotics [Courtesy John Leonard] 44

EKF SLAM Application





odometry

estimated trajectory

V. Hlaváč, B3M33ARO Autonomous robotics [courtesy John Leonard] 45

Approximations for SLAM



Local submaps

[Leonard et al.99, Bosse et al. 02, Newman et al. 03]

- Sparse links (correlations)
 [Lu & Milios 97, Guivant & Nebot 01]
- Sparse extended information filters

[Frese et al. 01, Thrun et al. 02]

- Thin junction tree filters
 [Paskin 03]
- Rao-Blackwellisation (FastSLAM)

[Murphy 99, Montemerlo et al. 02, Eliazar et al. 03, Haehnel et al. 03]

EKF-SLAM Summary



- Quadratic in the number of landmarks: O(n²)
- Convergence results for the linear case.
- Can diverge if nonlinearities are large!
- Have been applied successfully in large-scale environments.
- Approximations reduce the computational complexity.

Particle filtering (Sequential Monte-Carlo)



- Kalman Filter linear system, Gaussian noise.
- Kalman extensions (EKF,UKF) for non-linear systems.
- Particle Filtering general filtering problems.
 - Hammersley & Morton 1954, Rosenbluth 1955
 -
 - Gordon et. al. 1993 (Re-sampling)
 - Van der Merwe, Doucet, de Freitas, Wan ... (90-)
- Application areas: statistics, physics, engineering, finance...

Computer vision: CONDENSATION (conditional density propagation)

Michael Isard & Andrew Blake – ECCV 1996

- Kalman filter in contour tracking:
 - Major assumption: Gaussian PDF of object's state –
 - Works relatively poorly in clutter: Multi-modal density -











Discrete-time propagation

- Probabilistic model:
 - x_t object state at time t. $X_t = \{x_1, \dots, x_t\}$
 - $z_t \text{image features at time t.}$ $Z_t = \{z_1, \dots, z_t\}$
- The goal given Z_t , find the most likely x_t .
- Better to approximate the whole posterior density:



ĊVUT

v Praze

in Prague

Zt

 X_t

Z_{t-2}

 Z_{t}

Z_{t-1}

Factored sampling (1)



We use iterative sampling to approximate the complex posterior p(x|z):

1) Sample "particles" from $p(x) - \{s^{(1)}, ..., s^{(N)}\}$



Factored sampling (2)



$$p(x \mid z) = kp(z \mid x)p(x)$$

2) Weight them according to the observation p(z|x):







$$p(x \mid z) = kp(z \mid x)p(x)$$

3) The "weighted particles" are approximation of p(x|z)



Choosing $x'=x_i$ according to π_i will have a distribution that approximates the posterior p(x/z). Accuracy increases with N.

Factored sampling (4)

Can calculate easily **statistics** of the posterior:

$$E[g(x) \mid z] \approx \sum_{i=1}^{N} g(s^{(i)}) \pi_i$$

■ *e.g., the mean* - *g*(*x*)=*x*:



weighted samples

the mean

V. Hlaváč, B3M33ARO Autonomous robotics



Condensation



Condensation, illustrative video



